

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,154	09/29/2003	Felix A. Perriello	GLOB 63966	1902
75	90 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Tara L. Pfaeffle			BARRY, CHESTER T	
Pietragallo, Bos	ick & Gordon			
One Oxford Cer	ntre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
301 Grant Street, 38th Floor			1724	
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	\mathcal{W} .		
Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/674,154	PERRIELLO, FELIX A.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Chester T. Barry	1724			
appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
1 March 2004.				
his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it				
er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
ion.				
drawn from consideration.				
or election requirement.				
	but he Functions			
•				
		(d).		
Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.			
	•			
ents have been received. ents have been received in A	application No			
	received.			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	Examiner Chester T. Barry appears on the cover sheet was perely within the statutory minimum of this iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI at the cause the application to become Alailing date of this communication, even if the cause the application to become Alailing date of this communication, even if the cause the application to become Alailing date of this communication, even if the cause the application to become Alailing date of the cause the application to become Alailing date of this communication, even if the cause of the cause of the cause of the cause of the drawing of the cause	10/674,154 PERRIELLO, FELIX A Examiner Chester T. Barry 1724 1724 1724 1724 1724 1724 1725 1736		

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 14, drawn to a method for treating waste, classified in class210, subclass .
- II. Claims 15-24, drawn to an apparatus for treating waste, classified in class210, subclass
- III. Claims 25 drawn to a method for producing a plant growth-enhancing 435 material, classified in class , subclass 41.
- IV. Claims 32-41, drawn to a plant growth enhancing material, classified in class 504, subclass 520.
- V. Claims 42-61, drawn to a plant or soil treatment method, classified in class , subclass 2.79

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process and the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Inventions $\lor \lor$ and $\lor \lor$ are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Application/Control Number: 10/674,154

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product.

Ref A and B are cited of interest.

CHESTER T. BARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

571-272-1152